

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/963,471	09/27/2001	Hiroaki Tomofuji	1081.1130	9539
21171	7590 02/07/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP		PASCAL, LESLIE C		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2633	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ch
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/963,471	TOMOFUJI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leslie Pascal	2633
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.5 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
	Ex parte quayie, 1000 O.B. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.
A) □ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er. cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Ority documents have been receiv Nau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) ☐ Interview Summar	(/PTO.413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

Application/Control Number: 09/963,471

Art Unit: 2633

1. Although the applicant is only given one month to respond to the election of species and does not have to respond to formal matters, the following is pointed out in order to promote compact prosecution. In response to the species requirement, the applicant only HAS to elect. It may be beneficial to the applicant to correct the following problems. Claim 15 appears to have problems with clarity since in line 10, a second wavelength device is mentioned and a first wavelength device has not been mentioned. Also, "the first multiplexer" of lines 13 and 15 and "the second multiplexer" of line 17 lack antecedent basis. With regard to claims 4-5, the terms the first and second branching filters appear to be misdescriptive. The first branching filter appears to be elements 11-1 and 11-2. The second filter appears to be elements 10-1 and 10-2 and 10-3. Claim 4 says that the second branching filter separates EACH of the separated first and second wavelength groups into lights having plurality of different wavelengths. It does not appear that the second branching filter separates the first wavelength groups (λ_2 and λ_4) since it is the first filter (11-1 and 11-2) that separates the first wavelength group. Claims 6-7 have similar problems.

Page 2

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I) figure 8

Species II) figures 14

Species III) figure 18.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,471

Art Unit: 2633

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship

Art Unit: 2633

must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Pascal whose telephone number is 703-305-4922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Friday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 703-305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Pascal Primary Examiner Art Unit 2633